UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| 10/677,483 | 10/03/2003 | Randall S. Hickle | 82021-0045 4581 | |
| 24633 HOGAN & HA | 7590 10/29/200 RTSON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| | DLUMBIA SQUARE NTH STREET, N.W. | WITCZAK, CATHERINE | | |
| WASHINGTO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/29/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dcptopatent@hhlaw.com rogruwell@hhlaw.com

| | | Applicat | tion No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|---|-------------|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/677,4 | 483 | HICKLE, RANDALL S. | |
| | | Examine | er | Art Unit | |
| | | CATHER | RINE N. WITCZAK | 3767 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply | ication appears on th | he cover sheet with the | correspondence ac | idress |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this complete period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the approximation. | THIS COMMUNICATIOn event, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from poplication to become ABANDONICATION TO MANAGEMENT AND A REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi | 2b)∏ This action is for allowance excep | non-final. ot for formal matters, pr | | e merits is |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) 8) | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-17 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers | re withdrawn from co | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ | be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C | , , |
| | The oath or declaration is objected to | o by the Examiner. № | Note the attached Office | e Action or form P | IO-152. |
| 12) 🗌 a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions | documents have be documents have be of the priority docun onal Bureau (PCT Ru | en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)). | tion No ed in this National | Stage |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | ate | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear what Applicant is claiming with the limitation "providing orthogonally redundant information regarding at least one of said physiological conditions." Applicant is required to describe what is meant by said limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al (US 2003/0029453).

Smith et al disclose in Figure 1 a system comprising two or more health monitor devices (E,F,G,H); a user interface (D); an electronic controller (I) including memory storing safety data connected with the monitors, effectors, and the user interface (L).

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bui (US 2003/0125662).

Bui discloses in Figure 8 a system comprising two or more health monitor devices (16a, 16b); a user interface; an electronic controller (26) including memory storing safety data connected with the monitors, effectors, and the user interface.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments, see Response, filed 8/8/2008, with respect to the double patenting rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The double patenting rejections have been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Smith does not disclose a sedation and analgesia system. Examiner points out that Applicant has not positively recited the drugs being supplied by the system to be specifically those used for sedation and/or analgesia and thus the device of Smith discloses all the limitations claimed. As for the limitation regarding the monitoring devices providing orthogonally redundant information regarding the physiological conditions, Applicant has not disclosed what is meant by the limitation and thus it is unclear what is being claimed – since the devices of Smith and Bui disclose all the structural limitations claimed, it is held by the Examiner that these devices are inherently capable of performing this function.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,483

Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

/Catherine N Witczak/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767